

WARREN METLITZKY (CA Bar No. 220758)
CONRAD | METLITZKY | KANE LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 343-7100
Fax: (415) 343-7101
Email: wmetlitzky@conmetkane.com

DAVID RANDALL J. RISKIN (*pro hac vice*)
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
680 Maine Ave., S.W.
Washington, DC 20024
Tel: (202) 434-5000
Fax: (202) 434-5029
Email: bstewart@wc.com
Email: driskin@wc.com

Attorneys for Lyft, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER
SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
ORDER CONTINUING NON-PARTY
LYFT, INC.'S DEADLINE TO OBJECT
TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S ORDER
REGARDING THIRD-PARTY
SUBPOENA DISPUTES (ECF 395)**

1 WHEREAS, Magistrate Judge Cisneros granted in part and denied in part non-party Lyft,
2 Inc.'s letter request to quash a Rule 45 subpoena on July 14, 2024 (ECF 695);

3 WHEREAS, non-party Lyft is considering whether to seek leave from Magistrate Judge
4 Cisneros to file a motion for reconsideration of that discovery order;

5 WHEREAS, the deadline for Lyft to object to the Court's order under Federal Rule of Civil
6 Procedure 72(a) and Civil Local Rule 72-2 is July 29, 2024;

7 WHEREAS, to avoid burdening the Court with discovery-related briefing while Lyft
8 potentially pursues relief before Magistrate Judge Cisneros, Lyft and the parties agree that Lyft's
9 current objection deadline should be extended until August 16, 2024 or, in the event Lyft files a
10 motion seeking reconsideration, until fourteen days after the Magistrate Judge's ruling on Lyft's
11 motion;

12 NOW, THEREFORE, under Civil Local Rule 7-12, the parties hereby stipulate that the
13 deadline for Lyft to object to the Court's July 14, 2024 order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
14 72(a) and Civil Local Rule 72-2 is extended until August 16, 2024 or, in the event Lyft files a
15 motion seeking reconsideration, until fourteen days after the Magistrate Judge's ruling on Lyft's
16 motion.

17
18 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Dated: July 25, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

2
3 By: /s/ Warren Metlitzky

4 Warren Metlitzky
CONRAD | METLITZKY | KANE LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 343-7100
Fax: (415) 343-7101
Email: wmetlitzky@conmetkane.com

8 David Randall J. Riskin
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
680 Maine Ave., S.W.
Washington, DC 20024
Tel: (202) 434-5000
Fax: (202) 434-5029
Email: bstewart@wc.com
Email: driskin@wc.com

12 *Attorneys for Lyft, Inc.*

13
14 By: /s/ Marlene J. Goldenberg

15 MARLENE J. GOLDENBERG
SAMANTHA V. HOEFS
NIGH GOLDENBERG RASO & VAUGHN PLLC
14 Ridge Square NW, Third Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Tel: (202) 978-2228
Fax: (202) 792-7927
Email: mgoldenberg@nighgoldenberg.com
Email: shoefs@nighgoldenberg.com

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

21 By: /s/ Michael B. Shortnacy

22 MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY
SHOOK HARDY & BACON
2049 Century Park East, Suite 3000
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (424) 285-8330
Email: mshortnacy@shb.com

26 *Attorneys for Defendants*

ATTESTATION

Under Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I hereby attest that each of the other signatories have concurred in the filing of this document.

DATED: July 25, 2024

/s/ Warren Metlitzky
Warren Metlitzky

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER
SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER CONTINUING
NON-PARTY LYFT, INC.'S DEADLINE
TO OBJECT TO MAGISTRATE
JUDGE'S ORDER REGARDING
THIRD-PARTY SUBPOENA DISPUTES
(ECF 395)**

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

The Court hereby GRANTS non-party Lyft, Inc. and the parties' stipulation as follows: The deadline for Lyft to object to the Court's July 14, 2024 order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a) and Civil Local Rule 72-2 is extended until August 16, 2024 or, in the event Lyft files a motion seeking reconsideration, until fourteen days after the Magistrate Judge's ruling on Lyft's motion.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: July 26, 2024

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

